

THE FLUORIDE DICTATORSHIP

What motivates an Australian Government (NSW) to recently follow the despicable UK Government's Act in changing the law to beat "*the will of the people*"? The Scottish Court ruled fluoridation illegal, so Maggie Thatcher changed the law. That was the way to beat the people.

Fluoridation, forced only by Government compulsion, nurtured by the Liberal and Labor Parties, completely and utterly foreign to our Constitution, the rights of the individual, and now proven to have been propagandised on false and deceitful scientific data. (NIDR Study 1988).

But why this fanatical compulsion? Who really directs and indeed controls these political parties and their stooges to act in a manner foreign to the "will of the people" and our Constitutional Rights?

Who orders duly elected members of parliament to blatantly misrepresent those who voted them into Parliament? To whom have they given their allegiance in preference to the people of Australia?

The people of NSW demonstrated to Mr Greiner they do not want fluoridation, so he changed the law also to beat "the will of the people".

Can we afford such opposition to our personal rights, social and political liberties, or are we faced with foreign domination, foreign in all sense of the word? NSW fluoridation history is interesting and disturbing.

In 1964 Premier Heffron was softly talking about fluoridating Sydney, but Mr Askin, Leader of the Liberal Opposition Party, opposed vehemently on the floor of the House, such a process for Sydney drinking water supplies.

Shortly after, State elections were held. Mr Askin in his policy speech, April 13, 1965 stated his promise on fluoridation. "Fluoridation - we are against the Labor Government's policy of virtual compulsion on bodies controlling water supplies, to

add fluoride. Conditions vary in different districts and we believe the matter ought to be left to the local authorities to decide."

As part of Mr Askin's "honest" political guarantee to the NSW people, he was elected Premier. No sooner in Government, Mr Askin pushed through Parliament the compulsory fluoridation of Sydney. It was one of his first acts as Premier of NSW.

Years passed, indeed 24 years, and in comes Nick Greiner as Leader of the Liberal Party and Premier of New South Wales. Within a short time Premier Greiner reacted to "the will of the people" in New South Wales where fluoridation plants were stopped, Councils were using their Constitutional Rights and voting against fluoridation their communities' drinking water supplies.

The "will of the people" in fluoridation is obviously against Premier Greiner's dictatorship, so he introduced a new Fluoridation Act into the Parliament.

Health Minister Collins, in his address to the Parliament 19.4.89 Hansard said, "*Some difficulties have arisen in recent years in introducing or even maintaining fluoridated water in some areas. The current proposals are designed to overcome those difficulties. At present, if an authority wishes to fluoridate, it must obtain the approval of the Secretary of the Department of Health.*"

"However, there is no power in the Act to direct an authority to fluoridate. It is proposed to give the Secretary of the Dept of Health such power, but its exercise will be circumscribed in two ways."

Mr Collins continued... "Such a power would have been valuable in the case of Moree Plains Shire Council. In that instance, the Council voted in 1984 to proceed with

fluoridation, but met with strong opposition. At the subsequent Local Government elections, a number of pro-fluoridation councillors lost office. Unfortunately the new Council voted in 1987 **not to continue** with fluoridation."

"Two councils, Deniliquin Municipal Council and Moree Plains Shire Council - in regard to Pallamallawa Water Supply - have recently stopped fluoridation of their water supplies. It is believed that other Councils could be considering similar action. *Accordingly the proposed amendment is seen as vital in preserving the State's successful program of fluoridation.*" - endquote.

The Greiner Government has acted to quash the "will of the people", the freedom of the people, and their Constitutional Right to freedom of medication.

The new Act increases the penalties against the "will of the people", examples - from \$200-\$1,000 for breaches of the Act, and fines up to \$5,000. Most disgusting is the power invested in the new Fluoridation of Public Water Supplies Advisory Committee, sitting in judgement, supporting the Gov't in its totalitarian and draconian new Fluoridation Act, all without a member of that committee drawn from the community to represent the "will of the people".

During the debate in Parliament, the Liberal and Labor speakers gave their fluoridation testimonies in the silence of the Parliament, but when one speaker against the Bill commenced his address, things changed. Not only were there continuous interjections, but silly points of order to interrupt the flow of the (Australian Democrat) Hon. Richard S. Jones' well prepared speech. At one time there were nine interruptions, one after another.

It seems democracy and truth have no platform in the NSW Government, when a member has the audacity to speak on behalf of the people.

- GOV'T vs PEOPLE

NaF ♦ 666
NSW - The Fluoride State

The Hon. Marie Bignold was the only other speaker against the Bill, but the Hon. Judith Jenkins, speaking with great enthusiasm for the Bill, made this statement: Hansard 9th May, 1989 page 7549

"In 1986 the Local Government and Shires Association conducted a survey among member Councils. At that time 67% of those replying opposed the principle of allowing the Government to direct fluoridation, and 65% opposed the proposal to require the Department Secretary's approval before discontinuation."

So here in the Parliament was proof that the "will of the people" and the will of the Councils opposed the Government's new Act, but of no avail for democracy and

the new Bill was passed, 35 votes for and 2 against. Democracy was laid to rest and totalitarian compulsion became the order of the day for NSW people.

THE NEW SOUTH WALES FLUORIDATION "EXPERTS"

The obnoxious new Fluoridation Bill was introduced into the NSW Parliament by Mr Peter Collins, Health Minister. He displayed great confidence in his fluoridation knowledge and was supported by Premier Nick Greiner and the combined vote of the Liberal and Labor Parties.

Who did they represent? Not one went to their electorate and openly asked how the people wished to be represented on the Fluoridation Bill.

Immediately after the Bill passed through Parliament, letters by concerned and dissatisfied people were sent to Premier Greiner and the Health Minister. To date not one reply has been received from Greiner or Collins, the architects of the Fluoridation Bill and the "expert" fluoride leaders in the Parliament.

Is it not reasonable to expect answers to simple questions from these paid, elected servants of the people, who displayed their great knowledge of fluoridation on the floor of the Parliament?

Source: Australian Fluoridation News, July/Aug 1989.

COUNTRIES AGAINST FLUORIDATION

[NO FLR = No Fluoridation]

ARGENTINA	- NO FLR	- Discontinued
AUSTRIA	- NO FLR	- 'Will not be carried out'.
BELGIUM	- NO FLR	- Discontinued
CHILE	- NO FLR	- Discontinued
DENMARK	- NO FLR	- Forbidden by Law
EGYPT	- NO FLR	- Never introduced
FRANCE	- NO FLR	- 'Gov't does not allow'
W. GERMANY	- NO FLR	- Discontinued
GREECE	- NO FLR	- Never introduced
HOLLAND	- NO FLR	- Discontinued
HUNGARY	- NO FLR	- Discontinued
INDIA	- NO FLR	- Endemic fluorosis occurs in parts of India where removal of fluoride (with defluoridation units) from water supplies is a major public health problem.
ITALY	- NO FLR	- Some public water supplies are de-fluoridated
JAPAN	- NO FLR	- Gov't doesn't recommend
LUXEMBOURG	- NO FLR	- Gov't doesn't recommend
NORWAY	- NO FLR	- Gov't doesn't recommend
SCOTLAND	- NO FLR	- Mass medication declared illegal in major court case
SWEDEN	- NO FLR	- Discontinued - Forbidden by law.